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Industrial-strength systems
Java standards

Community Development of Java Technology Specifications
Java Standard Edition
Java Micro Edition
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Java Enterprise Edition
How the JCP works
How we do it

• Java Specification Requests (JSRs)
  – A JSR is a single version of a Java specification.
  – JSRs are led by a community member (the Spec Lead), with a group of interested members (the Expert Group) helping with the day-to-day decisions and work.
  – Any community member can submit and lead a JSR.

• Each Expery Group must deliver:
  – The Specification
  – The Reference Implementation (RI)
  – The Technology Compatibility Kit (TCK)
The compatibility triangle

- Specification
- Reference Implementation
- Technology Compatibility Kit

- In the specification unambiguous?
- Can you build an implementation?

- Is the TCK correct?
- Does the RI conform?
Deliverables
Compatibility testing
• Java Specification Participation Agreement (JSPA)
  – A legal contract between members and Oracle.
  – Addresses Intellectual Property (IP) grants and the terms under which the spec, RI, and TCK should be licensed.

• JCP 2: Process Document
  – Defines the governance of the organization.
  – Defines the processes that are used to submit define, develop, review, approve, and maintain specifications.
  – Defines the obligations to produce an RI and TCK, and to define a test challenge process.
Roles

• JCP Chair
  – Leads the organization and manages the PMO.
• Program Management Office (PMO)
  – Manages day-to-day operations of the organization.
• Executive Committees
  – Define JCP governance, processes, and contractual terms of membership.
  – Vote on JSRs at defined stages through the process.
• Expert Groups
  – Create JSRs (write the spec, develop the RI and TCK).
• Members
  – Review specs, may participate in Expert Groups, vote in Executive Committee elections.
The Executive Committees

• Two Executive Committees:
  – One for Java ME and one for Java SE and Java EE combined.
• Each EC has 16 members.
• Oracle has a permanent seat on each EC.
• 10 of the other 15 seats are ratified by Oracle.
  – Oracle nominates a candidate; the entire JCP membership approves via ballot.
• The remaining 5 seats are elected (any JCP member may nominate themselves.)
• Yearly elections reconfirm or replace one-third of the members.
• ECs meet ~monthly by phone, 3 times a year face-to-face.
• EC meeting minutes are public.
Executive Committee responsibilities

• Review and vote on all JSRs associated with their EC.
• Evolve the JSPA and the Process Document.
  – The JSPA and Process Document are modified through the JSR process itself.
  – The Executive Committees serve as the Expert Group for JSRs that make these changes; the Chair is the Spec Lead.
  – JSR 99 defined the JSPA, JSR 215 defined the Process Doc.
  – Two new JSRs to evolve the JSPA and Process Doc will be filed soon (*JCP.next.*)
• Represent their own interests to the JCP and the JCP to the developer and user community.
• Advise the PMO.
Current Executive Committee membership

- **Java ME EC**
  - Stefano Andreani, Aplex, AT&T, CableLabs, IBM, Nokia, Oracle, RIM, Samsung, Sean Sheedy, Siemens, SK Telecom, T-Mobile, Alex Terrazas, TOTVS, Vodafone.

- **Java SE/EE EC**

- **Elected in the recent Special Election.**
The Spec Lead

• The individual or institutional owner and driver of a JSR.
  – Recruits and organizes the activities of the Expert Group.
  – Responsible for delivering the Spec, RI, and TCK.

• Intellectual Property rights to the technology incorporated in the JSR accrue to the Spec Lead.
  – Subject to obligations incurred under the JSPA.

• The Spec Lead is free to define the terms under which the RI, and TCK will be licensed.
  – Subject to obligations incurred under the JSPA.

• Oracle is the Spec Lead for the three existing Platforms: Java ME, Java SE, and Java EE.
The Expert Group

• The Expert Group is recruited and led by the Spec Lead.
  – All members of the JCP are eligible to join.
  – Participation by all interested parties is encouraged and desirable.
  – The EG works as a team to define the JSR and to develop the Spec, RI, and TCK.

• The EG is free to define its own working style.
  – When and how often it meets, how it makes decisions...

• Transparency is strongly encouraged.
  – EGs must explain their transparency strategy:
    • Public aliases, public issue list, Wikis, blogs...
  – EGs must respond publicly to comments.
The membership

- Anyone can join.
- Total membership is approximately 1200.
- 3700 registered users at jcp.org.
- Fees:
  - Java Licensees: free.
  - Individuals: free
  - Java User Groups: free.
  - Non-profit organizations: $2K/year.
  - Commercial organizations: $5K/year.
Who are the members?

• Membership distribution by type:
  – 77% individual.
  – 21% corporate.
  – 2% non-profit.

• Membership distribution by location:
  – 50% North America
  – 32% Europe and the Russian Federation
  – 13% Asia and the Middle East
  – 5% South America
A typical individual member?
The JSR development cycle

1. JSR Review
   - 14 days
   - EC votes
   - Expert Group Formation
   - 30-90 days
   - Develop first draft
2. Early Draft Review
3. Public Review
   - 30-90 days
   - EC votes during last 7 days
   - Start develop TCK
4. Final Approval Ballot
   - 14 days
   - EC votes
   - Finish spec, RI and TCK
5. Final Release
Identify the submitters, the Specification Lead(s), and the initial members of the Expert Group.

Describe the proposed specification and the reasons for developing it.

Identify the target Platform(s).

Estimate the development schedule.

Describe the working model the Expert Group will adopt, and how it will involve the community and the public.

Identify preexisting documents, technology descriptions, or implementations that might be used as a starting point.

Publish the licensing terms for the Spec, RI, and TCK.
• JSR proposals are published on the JCP website.
• JCP members, the Executive Committees, and the public review and comment.
• JCP members may request to join the Expert Group during this period.
• The Executive Committee(s) vote on the JSR during the final two weeks of the review period.
• If the JSR is voted down, submitters have 14 days to revise and resubmit for a second vote; if this fails the JSR is closed.
Expert Group formation begins when the JSR is approved.

Members request to join the EG through an online form.

No size limit; Spec Leads should ensure that all interested parties are represented, but should keep the group small enough that it will be able to function efficiently.

Members may be added at any time, subject to the agreement of the existing membership.

EG decides its mode of operation (communication mechanisms, meeting schedules, etc.)

— Transparency and community involvement are strongly encouraged.
The Expert Group's first deliverable is the *Early Draft*.  
- Provides the JCP membership and the public with a draft that is detailed enough to generate useful feedback.

- No fixed deadline for generating the draft – EGs should take as long as they need (but no longer!)

- Early Draft is published for review on the JCP website.  
  - Review period is typically 30 days but may be longer.

- No ballot at this stage – the purpose is to gather feedback.

- EGs may choose to revise the draft and resubmit if feedback indicates that significant changes need to be made.  
  - OK to iterate through several Early Draft reviews.
• Spec should be relatively complete before Public Review.
• Expert Group should also be developing the RI and TCK while working on the spec.
• Spec is published for review on the JCP website.
  – Review period is 30–90 days (the EG decides how long).
• All public comments should be considered and addressed.
• The Public Draft Specification Approval Ballot takes place during the final 7 days of the review
• If the ballot fails, the EG has 30 days to resubmit for a Reconsideration Ballot.
  – If this ballot fails, the JSR is closed.
Proposed Final Draft

• The EG submits a Proposed Final Draft of the spec to the PMO.
  – This should incorporate and address feedback from the Public Review.
• Spec is posted on the website for public download.
• The EG prepares the Final Draft of the spec and completes the RI and TCK.
  – The TCK must meet quality and coverage goals.
  – The RI must pass the TCK.
  – A test appeals process must be defined.
  – Final RI and TCK licensing terms must be published.
• The EC has two weeks to review these materials and to cast their votes in the Final Approval Ballot.
• If the ballot fails the EG has 30 days to resubmit for a Reconsideration Ballot; if that fails, the JSR is closed.
• Approved JSRs are posted for Final Release and may then be implemented commercially.
Maintenance

• Spec Leads are expected to make a long-term commitment to revise and update the spec, RI, and TCK.
• Spec Lead typically takes on the role of Maintenance Lead.
  – Gathers Proposed changes, deciding which to Accept and which to Defer, publishing these on the JCP website.
• 30-day public Maintenance Review period (no ballot unless EC members intervene to request items be deferred).
• RI and TCK must be synchronized with spec changes.
  – Maintenance Release is final when this is completed.
• More significant changes (beyond minor clarifications of the spec) require a new JSR.
Summary of JSR Stages

• JAB: JSR Approval Ballot - Mandatory - 2 weeks.
• EDR: Early Draft Review - Mandatory - 30/45/60/90 days.
• PR: Public Review - Mandatory - 30/45/60/90 days.
• PRB: Public Review Ballot – Mandatory - 7 days.
• PFD: Proposed Final Draft - Mandatory - no time frame.
• FAB: Final Approval Ballot - Mandatory - 2 weeks.
• FR: Final Release - Mandatory.
• MR: Maintenance Review - Optional - 30/45/60/90 days.
• MREL: Maintenance Release - Optional.

Each stage must be completed before the Expert Group moves on to the next.
Using the Process to change the Process

- We modify the Process (as defined in the *Process Document* and the *JSPA*) by filing JSRs.
  
  – The Chair is the Spec Lead, and the Executive Committee members form the Expert Group.

- **JSR 348: Towards a new version of the Java Community Process** is in progress now.
  
  – This JSR is focusing on relatively simple changes that can be implemented within about six months.

- Follow-on JSRs will merge the two ECs into one, and implement more complex changes, including any that require modifying the JSPA.
Transparency operations

- As JSR 348 itself will mandate, all our work is being done in public.
- A public java.net project has been created with a Wiki, Expert Group and Observer aliases, discussion forum, issue tracker, and file download area.
- All EG mail traffic is copied to the Observers alias.
- All our documents (meeting agendas and minutes, task lists, working drafts) are published in our Document Archive.
- We want your input!
Themes

- Transparency
- Participation
- Agility
- Restructuring and cleanup
• Must do all substantive business on a public mailing list.
• Must track issues in a public issue tracker.
• Must respond to all comments before moving to next stage.
• Must specify collaboration software used and report its Terms of Use.
Executive Committee transparency

- Must hold semi-annual teleconferences and an annual open meeting at JavaOne.
  - All JCP members are free to attend these meetings.
  - Agenda will be chosen from topics suggested by members.
- Must create a public alias with archive for members to provide feedback to the ECs.
- Private and non-normative EC policies and procedures made public and normative in new EC Standing Rules.
- Undocumented license review process now specified.
- Escalation and Appeal process defined.
  - Spec Leads, EG members and JCP members can appeal to EC for help in resolving disputes.
License transparency

• NOTE: public disclosure of complete licensing terms is already required.
• Changes in license terms between releases must be explicitly called out.
• Previously-offered licenses cannot be withdrawn during the lifetime of a JSR (though new terms may also be offered.)
  — Implementors required to adopt a new version of the TCK must be offered the old terms if they wish.
TCK transparency

- Spec Leads must submit to the PMO (quarterly, and at every Maintenance Release) a list of all devices/platforms that have been certified as compatible.
  - These will be published on jcp.org.
- TCK documentation must be publicly & freely available.
- TCK User's Guide must include Compatibility Requirements.
- Implementors must be free to discuss detailed TCK test results.
Election transparency

• PMO to host “Meet the Candidates” teleconference(s) before the election. All Members are free to join.
• If a suitable venue (e.g. JavaOne) is available, the PMO should host a “Meet the Candidates” public meeting.
• Employees of a Member Company cannot run for election in their own right.
• Employees of a Member Company cannot vote in their own right.
Participation

- Requests to join EGs, the Spec Lead's responses, and decisions to remove or replace EG members, must be reported on the EG's public alias.
- Any 3 EG members can request the Spec Lead to remove a disruptive, uncooperative, or unresponsive EG member.
- Any 3 EG members can request the EC to replace an uncooperative or unresponsive Spec Lead.
- EC members who miss two consecutive meetings lose their voting privileges until they have attended two.
- EC members who miss 6 (5?) meetings in a row lose their seat.
• Time-outs for inactive JSRs.
  – Must reach Early Draft within 1 year, Public Draft within 2 years, Final Release within 3 years else can be withdrawn by EC vote.
  • We may tighten up these requirements.
• Clarify the Final Release and Maintenance processes to ensure that completed/updated Spec, RI, and TCK are posted promptly.
• Ensure that links to RI and TCK are maintained.
  – If broken and not fixed, JSR must revert to “incomplete” stage.
Restructuring and cleanup

- Private and non-normative EC policies and procedures made public and normative in new EC Standing Rules.
- Some material moved from the Process Document into this.
  - Standing Rules can be changed with a more lightweight process than a JSR.
    - Publish a Final Draft, conduct a 30-day review, then hold an EC vote.
- New *General Requirements* section created for material that is not specific to a particular phase of the process.
  - This permitted some additional refactoring.
Current status and next steps

• We're in good shape for Early Draft Review (scheduled to begin July 13.)
  – Very few issues still under discussion.
  – Much polishing, word-smithing, editing still required.
• Need to obtain broader community feedback.
  – That's what EDR is for!
• Start planning for follow-on JSRs after EDR.
• When the JSR completes, Oracle will lead by example and adopt the new Process for all in-flight JSRs.
  – We cannot impose this – only strongly encourage.
Call to action

  - The “clean” version of the Process Document.
  - The “clean” version of the EC Standing Rules.
- Join the Observer alias or browse the archives here: [http://java.net/projects/jsr348/lists](http://java.net/projects/jsr348/lists)
- Provide feedback to the Observer alias: observers@jsr348.java.net.
Get involved
Why participate?

• As an individual or through a Java User Group:
  – Good Karma!
  – Experience.
  – Fame and fortune.

• As a corporation:
  – To influence the technologies that drive your market.
  – Because it's cheaper than developing everything yourself.
  – To provide opportunities for your developers.
  – To increase the size of your market.
  – To gain a competitive advantage (ship new products faster.)
How to participate

• As a non-member:
  – Participate in OpenJDK or Project Glassfish.
  – Review specifications and provide feedback.

• As a member:
  – Vote in the elections.
  – Lead a JSR.
  – Run for the Executive Committee.

• You can gain membership privileges through your JUG.
JUG members

- Connecticut JUG
- Detroit JUG
- Houston JUG
- Japan JUG
- Rio JUG
- Utah JUG
- Oklahoma City JUG
- Central Ohio Java Users Group
- Duchess (Women)
- Breizh JUG (Brittany)
- Java Student UG (Vienna)
- Japan JUG
- Riviera JUG (France)
- SouJava (Brazil)
- IndiJUG (India)
- BeJUG (Belgium)
- JUG-AFRICA
- JUG-EG (Egypt)
- JUG-MK (Macedonia)
- JUG-RU (Russia)
- JUG-USA
- Malaysia-JUG
- London Java Community
- JUG Chennai (India)
Questions?